# **On Reduced and Semicommutative Modules**

Muhittin Başer, Nazim Agayev

#### Abstract

In this paper, various results of reduced and semicommutative rings are extended to reduced and semicommutative modules. In particular, we show: (1) For a principally quasi-Baer module,  $M_R$  is semicommutative if and only if  $M_R$  is reduced. (2) If  $M_R$  is a p.p.-module then  $M_R$  is nonsingular.

**Key words and phrases:** Reduced Rings (Modules), Baer, quasi-Baer and Rings (Modules).

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings R are associative with unity and all modules M are unital right R-modules. For a nonempty subset X of a ring R, we write  $r_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid Xr = 0\}$  and  $l_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid rX = 0\}$ , which are called the right annihilator of X in R and the left annihilator of X in R, respectively. Recall that a ring R is *reduced* if R has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Observe that reduced rings are abelian (i.e., all idempotents are central).

In [7] Kaplansky introduced *Baer* rings as rings in which the right (left) annihilator of every nonempty subset is generated by an idempotent. According to Clark [6], a ring R is said to be *quasi-Baer* if the right annihilator of each right ideal of R is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent. These definitions are left-right symmetric. Recently, Birkenmeier et al. [4] called a ring R a *right* (resp. *left*) *principally quasi-Baer* (or simply, *right* (resp. *left*) *p.q.-Baer*) ring if the right (resp. left) annihilator of a principally right

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D80, 16S36, 16W60.

(resp. left) ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. R is called a p.q.-Baer ring if it is both right and left p.q.-Baer.

Another generalization of Baer rings is a p.p.-ring. A ring R is called a *right* (resp. *left*) p.p.-ring if the right (resp. *left*) annihilator of an element of R is generated by an idempotent. R is called a p.p.-ring if it is both a right and left p.p.-ring.

A ring R is called *semicommutative* if for every  $a \in R$ ,  $r_R(a)$  is an ideal of R. (equivalently, for any  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies aRb = 0). Recall from [1] that R is said to satisfy the *IFP* (*insertion of factors property*) if R is semicommutative. An idempotent  $e \in R$  is called *left* (resp. *right*) semicentral if xe = exe (resp. ex = exe), for all  $x \in R$  ([see, [2]).

According to Lee-Zhou [10], a module  $M_R$  is said to be reduced if, for any  $m \in M$ and any  $a \in R$ , ma = 0 implies  $mR \cap Ma = 0$ . It is clear that R is a reduced ring if and only if  $R_R$  is a reduced module.

**Lemma** [10, Lemma 1.2] The following are equivalent for a module  $M_R$ :

(1)  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -reduced.

- (2) The following three conditions hold: For any  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ 
  - (a) ma = 0 implies  $mRa = mR\alpha(a) = 0$ .
  - (b)  $ma\alpha(a) = 0$  implies ma = 0.
  - (c)  $ma^2 = 0$  implies ma = 0.

In [10] Lee-Zhou introduced Baer, quasi-Baer and the p.p.-module as follows:

(1)  $M_R$  is called *Baer* if, for any subset X of M,  $r_R(X) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

(2)  $M_R$  is called *quasi-Baer* if, for any submodule N of M,  $r_R(N) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

(3)  $M_R$  is called p.p. if, for any  $m \in M$ ,  $r_R(m) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

In [8] the module  $M_R$  is called *principally quasi-Baer* (p.q.-*Baer* for short) if, for any  $m \in M$ ,  $r_R(mR) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

It is clear that R is a right p.q.-Baer ring iff  $R_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module. If R is a p.q.-Baer ring, then for any right ideal I of R,  $I_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module. Every submodule of a p.q.-Baer module is p.q.-Baer module. Moreover, every quasi-Baer module is p.q.-Baer, and every Baer module is quasi-Baer. If R is commutative then  $M_R$  is p.p.-module iff  $M_R$  is p.q.-Baer module.

# 2. Reduced Rings and Modules

We start with the following definition which is defined in [5].

**Deinition 2.1** A module  $M_R$  is called *semicommutative* if  $r_R(m)$  is an ideal of R for all  $m \in M$ . (i.e. for any  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ , ma = 0 implies mRa = 0.)

It is clear that R is semicommutative if and only if  $R_R$  is a semicommutative module. Every reduced module is a semicommutative module by [10, Lemma 1.2].

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $\phi : R \longrightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and let M be a right S-module. Regard M as a right R-module via  $\phi$ . Then we have:

- (1) If  $M_S$  is a reduced module then  $M_R$  is a reduced module.
- (2) If  $\phi$  is onto, then the converse of the statements in (1) hold.
- (3) If S is a reduced ring, then S is a reduced as a right R-module.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Lemma 2.3** If  $M_R$  is a semicommutative module, then for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ , mea = mae for all  $m \in M$  and all  $a \in R$ .

**Proof.** For  $e^2 = e \in R$ , e(1-e) = (1-e)e = 0. Then for all  $m \in M$ , me(1-e) = 0 and m(1-e)e = 0. Since  $M_R$  is semicommutative, we have meR(1-e) = 0 and m(1-e)Re = 0. Thus for all  $a \in R$ , mea(1-e) = 0 and m(1-e)ae = 0. So, mea = meae and mae = meae. Hence, mea = mae for all  $a \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $M_R$  be a p.q.-Baer module, then  $M_R$  is semicommutative if and only if  $M_R$  is reduced.

**Proof.** Assume  $M_R$  is reduced. Then  $M_R$  is a semicommutative module by [10, Lemma 1.2].

Conversely, assume  $M_R$  is semicommutative. Let ma = 0 for  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . Since  $M_R$  is p.q.-Baer,  $a \in r_R(m) = r_R(mR) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Let  $x \in mR \cap Ma$ . Write x = mr = m'a for some  $r \in R$  and  $m' \in M$ . Since  $a \in r_R(m)$ , a = ea. Then x = m'a = m'ea = m'ae by Lemma 2.3. So x = mre = mer = 0 since  $er \in r_R(m)$ . Therefore  $mR \cap Ma = 0$ . Consequently  $M_R$  is a reduced module.

**Corollary 2.5** [3, Proposition 1.14.(iv)] If R is a right p.q.-Baer ring, then R satisfies the IFP if and only if R is reduced.

Corollary 2.6 [3, Corollary 1.15] The following are equivalent.

- (1) R is a p.q.-Baer ring which satisfies the IFP.
- (2) R is a reduced p.q.-Baer ring.

**Proposition 2.7** If  $M_R$  is a semicommutative module, then

(1)  $M_R$  is a Baer module if and only if  $M_R$  is a quasi-Baer module.

(2)  $M_R$  is a p.p.-module if and only if  $M_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module.

**Proof.** (1) "  $\Rightarrow$  " It is clear.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Assume  $M_R$  is a quasi-Baer module. Let X be any subset of  $M_R$ . Then  $r_R(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} r_R(x)$ . Since  $M_R$  is semicommutative,  $\bigcap_{x \in X} r_R(x) = \bigcap_{x \in X} r_R(xR)$ . But  $M_R$  is quasi-Baer module then  $r_R(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} r_R(xR) = r_R(\sum_{x \in X} xR) = eR$ , where  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Consequently  $r_R(X) = eR$ , where  $e^2 = e \in R$  and hence  $M_R$  is a Baer module.

(2) Since  $M_R$  is semicommutative,  $r_R(m) = r_R(mR)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Hence proof is clear.

## Corollary 2.8 If R is a semicommutative ring, then

(1) R is a Baer ring if and only if R is a quasi-Baer ring.

(2) R is a p.p.-ring if and only if R is a p.q.-Baer ring.

Proposition 2.9 The following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $M_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module.

(2) The right annihilator of every finitely generated submodule is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent.

**Proof.** "(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)" Clear.

"(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)" Assume that  $M_R$  is p.q.-Baer and  $N = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i R$  is a finitely generated submodule of  $M_R$ . Then  $r_R(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k e_i R$  where  $r_R(n_i R) = e_i R$  and  $e_i^2 = e_i$ . Let  $e = e_1 e_2 \dots e_k$ . Then e is a left semicentral idempotent and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k e_i R = eR$  since each  $e_i$ is a left semicentral idempotent. Therefore,  $r_R(N) = eR$ .

**Corollary 2.10** [3, Proposition 1.7.] *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:* 

(1) R is a right p.q.-Baer ring.

(2) The right annihilator of every finitely generated ideal of R is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent.

**Lemma 2.11** Let  $M_R$  be a p.p.-module. Then  $M_R$  is a reduced module if and only if  $M_R$  is a semicommutative module.

**Proof.** " $\Rightarrow$ ": It is clear by [10, Lemma 1.2]

" $\Leftarrow$ ": It follows from Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.4

**Corollary 2.12** Let R be a right p.p.-ring. Then R is a reduced ring if and only if R is a semicommutative ring.

**Proposition 2.13** Let R be an abelian ring. If  $M_R$  is a p.p.-module then  $M_R$  is a reduced module.

**Proof.** Let ma = 0 for some  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . Then  $a \in r_R(m)$ . Since  $M_R$  is a p.p.-module,  $r_R(m) = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Thus a = ea and me = 0. Let  $x \in mR \cap Ma$ . Write x = mr = m'a for some  $r \in R$  and  $m' \in M$ . Then x = m'ea = m'ae = mre = mer = 0 since  $er \in r_R(m)$ . Consequently  $M_R$  is a reduced module.

**Corollary 2.14** Let R be an abelian ring. If R is a right p.p.-ring then R is a reduced ring.

**Proposition 2.15** Let R be an abelian ring and  $M_R$  be a p.p.-module. Then  $M_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module.

**Proof.** Let  $m \in M$ . Since  $M_R$  is a p.p.-module, there exists  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $r_R(m) = eR$ . It is clear that  $r_R(mR) \subseteq r_R(m)$ . Let  $x \in r_R(m)$ . Then x = ex and me = 0. For all  $r \in R$ , mrx = mrex = merx = 0 since R is abelian. Hence,  $x \in r_R(mR)$ . Consequently  $r_R(mR) = r_R(m) = eR$ . Therefore  $M_R$  is a p.q.-Baer module.

Corollary 2.16 Abelian right p.p.-rings are right p.q.-Baer.

Let M be a module. A submodule K of M is essential in M, in case for every submodule  $L \leq M, K \cap L = 0$  implies L = 0.

Let M be a right module over a ring R. An element  $m \in M$  is said to be a singular element of M if the right ideal  $r_R(m)$  is essential in  $R_R$ . The set of all singular elements of M is denoted by Z(M). Z(M) is a submodule, called the singular submodule of M. We say that  $M_R$  is a singular (resp. nonsingular) module if Z(M) = M (resp. Z(M) = 0). In particulary, we say that R is a right nonsingular ring if  $Z(R_R) = 0$ .

## Proposition 2.17 Every p.p.-module is nonsingular.

**Proof.** Let  $M_R$  be a p.p.-module and  $m \in Z(M)$ . Then  $r_R(m)$  is essential in  $R_R$  and there exists  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $r_R(m) = eR$ . So eR is essential in  $R_R$ . But  $eR \cap (1-e)R = 0$  for right ideal (1-e)R of R. so (1-e)R = 0 and hence e = 1. Thus  $r_R(m) = R$  and so m = 0. Therefore  $M_R$  is a nonsingular module.

**Corollary 2.18** [9, (7.50)] A right p.p.-ring is right nonsingular. The following Lemma given by Lam [9, (7.8) Lemma].

**Lemma** Let R be reduced ring. Then R is right nonsingular.

Based on this Lemma, one may suspect that, this result true for module case. But the following example eliminates the possibility.

**Example 2.19** The module  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is reduced but not right nonsingular.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions which improved the paper considerable.

## References

- Bell, H. E.: Near-ring in which each element is a power of itself, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. 2, 363-368 (1970).
- [2] Birkenmeier, G. F.: Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals, Comm. Algebra. 11, 567-580 (1983).
- [3] Birkenmeier, G. F., Kim, J. Y. and Park, J. K.: Principall Quasi-Baer Rings, Comm. Algebra 29(2), 639-660 (2001).

- [4] Birkenmeier, G. F., Kim, J. Y. and Park, J. K.: On extensions of quasi-Baer and principally quasi-Baer rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 159, 25-42 (2001).
- [5] Buhpang, A. M. and Rege, M. B.: Semi-commutative Modules and Armendariz Modules, Arap J. Mathematical Sciences 18, 53-65 (2002).
- [6] Clark, W. E.: Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, Duke Math. J. 34, 417-424 (1967).
- [7] Kaplansky, I.: Rings of Operators, Math. Lecture Note Series, Benjamin, New York, 1965.
- [8] Koşan, M. T., Başer, M. and Harmanci, A.: Quasi-Armendariz Modules and Rings, Submitted.
- [9] Lam, T. Y.: Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc. 1999.
- [10] Lee, T. K. and Zhou, Y.: Reduced Modules, Rings, modules, algebras and abelian groups, 365-377, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 236, Dekker, New york, (2004).
- [11] Lee, T. K. and Zhou, Y.: Armendariz Rings and Reduced Rings, Comm. Algebra 6, 2287-2299 (2004).

Received 11.02.2005

Muhittin BAŞER Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Kocatepe University, A.N. Sezer Campus, Afyon-TURKEY e-mail: mbaser@aku.edu.tr

Nazim AGAYEV Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Gazi University, Maltepe, Ankara-TURKEY