KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 51(2011), 283-291 http://dx.doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2011.51.3.283

# On a Class of Semicommutative Rings

Tahire Özen\*

Department of Mathematics, Abant İzzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey e-mail: ozen\_t@ibu.edu.tr

NAZIM AGAYEV Department of Computer Engineering, University of Lefke, Cyprus e-mail: agayev@eul.edu.tr

ABDULLAH HARMANCI Department of Maths, Hacettepe University, 06550 Ankara, Turkey e-mail: harmanci@hacettepe.edu.tr

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a generalization of the class of semicommutative rings is investigated. A ring R is called *central semicommutative* if for any  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies arbis a central element of R for each  $r \in R$ . We prove that some results on semicommutative rings can be extended to central semicommutative rings for this general settings.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity unless otherwise stated. A ring R is called *semicommutative* if for any  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies aRb = 0. Hence R is a semicommutative ring if and only if every right (or left) ideal annihilator in R is an ideal of R. A ring R is called *reduced* if it does not have any nonzero nilpotent elements. A ring R is called *weakly semicommutative* [7], if for any  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies arb is nilpotent for each  $r \in R$ . Semicommutative rings have also been studied under the names IFP rings and zero-insertive (ZI) rings in the literature. There are some generalization of semicommutative rings. Namely, a ring R is called *g-IFP* whenever ab = 0 for any  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$ , there exists a nonzero  $c \in R$  such that aRc = 0 (see [5] in detail). In this paper we give another generalization of semicommutative rings. A ring R is called *central semicommutative tive* if for any  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies arb is a central element of R for each  $r \in R$ . It is clear that every semicommutative ring is central semicommutative. For any positive integer n and a ring R,  $R^{n \times n}$  and  $T_n(R)$  are the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices and

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

Received May 5, 2010; revised March 14, 2011; accepted March 15, 2011.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C99, 16D80, 16U80.

Key words and phrases: semicommutative rings, weakly semicommutative rings, reduced rings.

the  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix ring over the ring R respectively. Let  $R_n$  denote the subring  $\{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid \text{all } a_{ii} \text{ 's are equal for } i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  of  $T_n(R)$ . If Ris a reduced ring, then  $R_n$  is not semicommutative for  $n \ge 4$  from [6, Example 1.3]. But  $R_n$  is weakly semicommutative for all  $n \ge 1$  by [7, Example 2.1]. We show that for some rings R,  $R^{n \times n}$  for every  $n \ge 5$  and  $T_n(R)$  for every  $n \ge 2$  are not central semicommutative rings. Moreover we prove that if R is a commutative reduced ring and k is a positive integer, then  $T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  being a subring of  $T_{2k+2}(R)$  is a central semicommutative ring, and  $R_4$  is central semicommutative but not semicommutative. But in general we prove that  $R_n$  is not central semicommutative for  $n \ge 5$ . It is also proved that every central semicommutative ring is 2-primal.

Throughout this paper, the center of a ring R will be denoted by C(R). For a positive integer n,  $Z_n$  denotes the ring of integers Z modulo n. We write R[x] and  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  for the polynomial ring and the Laurent polynomial ring over a ring R, respectively.

#### 2. Central semicommutative rings

In this section we introduce a class of rings which is a generalization of semicommutative rings. We investigate some properties of this class of rings.

**Lemma 2.1.** If R is a prime central semicommutative ring, then R does not have any nonzero divisors of zero.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 0. Then for any  $r \in R$ , arb is a central element and so  $a^2rb$ ,  $arb^2$  are central. For any  $r \in R$ ,  $b(arb)a = ba(arb) = b(a^2rb) = a^2rb^2 = a(arb)b = ab(arb) = 0$ . Hence baRba = 0. By hypothesis ba = 0, and so aRb = 0. Hence a = 0 or b = 0.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let R be a semiprime central semicommutative ring. Then R is semicommutative.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 0. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, baRba = 0 and so baR is a nilpotent right ideal. By hypothesis ba = 0 implies arb = 0 for all  $r \in R.\Box$ 

A ring R is called *directly finite* whenever  $a, b \in R, ab = 1$  implies ba = 1.

**Proposition 2.3.** Every central semicommutative ring is directly finite.

*Proof.* Let R be a central semicommutative ring and  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 1. Then a(ba - 1) = 0. For any  $r \in R$ , ar(ba - 1) is central in R. By commuting with b, we have bar(ba - 1) = 0. Multiplying the latter by a from the left we obtain ar(ba - 1) = 0. Replacing r by b we have ba = 1.

Let R be a ring, P(R) the prime radical and N(R) the set of all nilpotent elements of the ring R. Since P(R) is the intersection of all prime ideals of R, it is a nil ideal, therefore  $P(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . The ring R is called 2-*primal* if P(R) = N(R) (see [3] and [5]). In [8, Theorem 1.5] it is proved that every semicommutative ring

is 2-primal. In this direction we prove the following theorem.

## Theorem 2.4. Every central semicommutative ring is 2-primal.

Proof. Let  $a \in N(R)$ . Assume  $a^2 = 0$ . Then ara is central and so  $asara = ara^2s = 0$  for  $r, s \in R$ . Hence for any prime ideal P, since r and s are arbitrary elements in R,  $asara \in P$  implies  $a \in P$ . Then  $a \in P(R)$ . Now assume  $a^3 = 0$ . Then for any  $r \in R$ ,  $ara^2$  is central. We commute the latter by a we obtain  $a^2ra^2 = 0$ . By hypothesis, for any  $s \in R$ ,  $asara^2$  is central. Again for any  $t \in R$   $atasara^2 = 0$ . Similarly for any  $u \in R$ , atasaraua is central. By commuting with av for any  $v \in R$  we have avatasaraua = 0. Then for any prime ideal P,  $avatasaraua \in P$ . Hence  $a \in P$ , and so is  $a \in P(R)$ . By an induction on the index of nilpotency of a, we may conclude that  $N(R) \subseteq P(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Every subring of a central semicommutative ring is central semicommutative.

*Proof.* Let S be a subring of central semicommutative ring R, and  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 0. Then arb is central for all  $r \in R$ . Hence arb commutes with every element of R, in particular it commutes with every element of S.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let R be a central semicommutative ring. Then every idempotent is central.

*Proof.* Let  $e^2 = e \in R$ . By hypothesis e(1 - e) = 0 implies er(1 - e) is central for all  $r \in R$ . Commuting e by er(1 - e) we obtain er(1 - e) = 0. Similarly we have (1 - e)re = 0. Hence er = ere = re.

The following example shows that, the converse of the Lemma 2.6 may not be true in general.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the ring

$$R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c, d \in Z, a - d \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

Then only idempotents of R are zero and identity matrices, and

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \text{ but } \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

is not central.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let R be a commutative or reduced ring. Then  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are central semicommutative.

*Proof.* If R is a reduced ring, then  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are semicommutative by [6], therefore they are central semicommutative. Assume that R is commutative. We prove  $R_3$  is central semicommutative. Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ ,

 $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & a_2 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } AA_2 = 0. \text{ Then } aa_2 = 0, ab_2 + ba_2 = 0, ac_2 + bd_2 + ca_2 = 0$ 0 and  $ad_2 + da_2 = 0$ . We use these to obtain, for any elements  $a_1, b_1, c_1$  and  $d_1$  in

 $R, aa_{1}a_{2} = 0, aa_{1}b_{2} + (ab_{1} + ba_{1})a_{2} = 0, aa_{1}d_{2} + (ad_{1} + da_{1})a_{2} = 0.$  Then for any  $A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} \\ 0 & a_{1} & d_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{1} \end{pmatrix} \in R_{3}, AA_{1}A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some  $u \in R$ . It is clear that  $AA_{1}A_{2} \in C(R_{3})$ . The rest is clear since the commutativity of R implies that

of  $R_2$ .

We now introduce a notation for some subrings of  $T_n(R)$ . Let k be a natural number smaller than n. Say

$$T_n^k(R) = \{\sum_{i=j}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j e_{(i-j+1)i} + \sum_{i=j}^{n-k} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} a_{ij} e_{j(k+i)} : x_j, a_{ij} \in R\}$$

where  $e_{ij}$ 's are matrix units. Elements of  $T_n^k(R)$  are in the form

where  $x_i \in R$ ,  $a_{js} \in R$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $1 \le j \le n-k$  and  $k+1 \le s \le n$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** Let R be any ring. Then

- (1)  $R_n$  is not central semicommutative for all  $n \geq 5$ .
- (2)  $T_n(R)$  is not central semicommutative for all  $n \ge 2$ .
- (3)  $R^{n \times n}$  is not central semicommutative for all n > 2.
- (4) If R is reduced, then for  $n \ge 4$  and  $k = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ , the subring  $T_n^k(R)$  is central semicommutative.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $e_{ij}$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrix units. Then  $e_{12}e_{34} = 0$ . But  $e_{12}e_{23}e_{34} =$  $e_{14}$  and  $e_{15} = e_{14}e_{45} \neq e_{45}e_{14} = 0$ . Hence  $e_{12}e_{23}e_{34}$  is not central and so  $R_5$  is not central semicommutative. Since  $R_5$  may be embedded, as a subring, in  $R_n$  for any  $n \ge 5$ , by Lemma 2.5  $R_n$  for any  $n \ge 5$  is not a central semicommutative ring.

(2) Assume that  $T_n(R)$  is central semicommutative for some  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $e^2 = e \in$  $T_n(R)$ . By Lemma 2.6 e is a central element of  $T_n(R)$ . Hence e = 0 or e is the identity. So it cannot be central semicommutative.

(3) Assume that  $R^{n \times n}$  is central semicommutative for all  $n \ge 2$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $T_n(R)$  will be central semicommutative. This is not the case.

286

(4) By [1, Theorem 2.5]  $T_n^k(R)$  is semicommutative for  $n \ge 4$  and  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$  and so it is central semicommutative.

In [7] it is proved that  $R_5$  is a weakly semicommutative ring. But in Lemma 2.9(1) we prove that  $R_5$  is not central semicommutative. So, weakly semicommutative rings are not central semicommutative. But we have the following lemma.

**Proposition 2.10.** Every central semicommutative ring is weakly semicommutative.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R$  and ab = 0. We will prove  $(arb)^2 = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since R is a central semicommutative ring, for any  $r \in R$ , arb is in C(R). Then  $(arb)^2 = (arba)rb = (a^2rb)rb = (a^2rbr)b = (ra^2rb)b = r(a^2rb^2) = (ra)(arb)b = rab(arb) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** (i) For any ring R,  $T_n^k(R)$  is not a central semicommutative ring, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 4$  and  $0 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$ .

(ii) If  $T_n^k(R)$  is a central semicommutative ring, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 4$  and  $2k+2 \leq n$ , then R is commutative and n = 2k+2.

*Proof.* (i)  $e_{1(k+1)}e_{(k+2)(2k+2)} = 0$  and  $e_{1(k+1)}(e_{12} + e_{23} + \dots + e_{(k+1)(k+2)} + \dots + e_{(n-1)n})e_{(k+2)(2k+2)} = e_{1(2k+2)} \in C(T_n^k(R))$ . But  $e_{1(2k+2)}(e_{12} + e_{23} + \dots + e_{(2k+2)(2k+3)} + \dots + e_{(n-1)n}) \neq 0 = (e_{12} + e_{23} + \dots + e_{(2k+2)(2k+3)} + \dots + e_{(n-1)n})e_{1(2k+2)}$ . Therefore, if  $2k + 3 \leq n$ , then  $T_n^k(R)$  cannot be central semicommutative.

(*ii*) Assume that R is not commutative. Then there are elements  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \neq ba$ . Since  $e_{1(k+1)}e_{(k+2)(2k+2)} = 0$  where  $2k + 2 \leq n$  and  $T_n^k(R)$  is central semicommutative, we can write that  $e_{1(k+1)}a(e_{12} + e_{23} + \ldots + e_{(k+1)(k+2)} + \ldots + e_{(n-1)n})e_{(k+2)(2k+2)} = ae_{1(2k+2)} \in C(T_n^k(R))$  and so  $ae_{1(2k+2)}b = bae_{1(2k+2)}$ , that is ab = ba. But this is a contradiction. By (*i*) 2k + 3 > n and  $2k + 2 \leq n$ , n = 2k + 2.

Example 2.12 shows that the converse of Theorem 2.11 (ii) may not be true in general.

**Example 2.12.** Let  $R = Z_4$  be the ring of integers modulo 4 and  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } R_4. \text{ Then } AB = 0. \text{ For }$$

ACB = D and D is not central in  $R_4$ . Hence  $R_4$  is not central semicommutative.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let R be a commutative reduced ring and k a positive integer. Then  $T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  is a central semicommutative ring. *Proof.* Note that  $T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  is equal to the following set  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} : A \in T_{2k+1}^k(R), B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{k+2} & a_{1k} & \dots & a_{12} \end{pmatrix}^T \right\}$ . Let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ 0 & a'_{11} \end{pmatrix} \in T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  and XY = 0. Then  $AA_1 = 0$ ,  $AB_1 + Ba'_{11} = 0$  and  $a_{11}a'_{11} = 0$ . Since  $AA_1 = 0$  and R is a reduced ring, we have the following equalities:

$$a_{11}a'_{ij} = a_{12}a'_{ij} = \dots = a_{1k}a'_{ij} = 0$$
  
$$a_{ij}a'_{11} = a_{ij}a'_{12} = \dots = a_{ij}a'_{1k} = 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (*)$$

Since  $AB_1 + Ba'_{11} = 0$ ,  $AB_1a'_{11} + B(a'_{11})^2 = 0$ . From being R commutative reduced and the equalities (\*) we have  $AB_1 = Ba'_{11} = 0$ . Now we investigate that  $AB_1 = 0$ . We can write that  $A = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{k+2} & a'_{1k} & \dots & a'_{12} \end{pmatrix}^T$ where  $C \in T^k_{k+2}(R)$ ,  $E \in T^{k-2}_{k-1}(R)$  and D is a  $(k+2) \times (k-1)$  matrix and  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$x_1, \dots, x_{k+2} \in R$$
. Therefore, by  $\begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ x_{k+2} \\ a'_{1k} \\ \dots \\ a'_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_{k+2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = 0$ 

we can obtain that  $C\begin{pmatrix} x_1\\ \dots\\ x_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$ . This implies the following equalities:

Let  $T \in T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ 0 & a_{11}'' \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $T_{2k+1}^k(R)$  is semicommutative, when R is reduced, by [1] we can obtain that  $AA_2A_1 = 0$  and  $a_{11}a_{11}''a_{11}' = 0$ . Hence  $XTY = \begin{pmatrix} AA_2A_1 & AA_2B_1 + (AB_2 + Ba_{11}'')a_{11}' \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{11}''a_{11}'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & AA_2B_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Also since R is a commutative reduced ring and by the equalities in (\*) we get that  $AA_2B_1 + (AB_2 + Ba_{11}'')a_{11}' = AA_2B_1$ . Let  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_2 & D_2 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $C_2 \in T_{k+2}^k(R)$ ,  $E_2 \in T_{k-1}^{k-2}(R)$  and  $D_2$  is a  $(k+2) \times (k-1)$  matrix. Since R is a commutative reduced ring, by using (\*) we can write the following:

$$AA_{2}B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} CC_{2}\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \dots \\ x_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} + (CD_{2} + DE_{2})\begin{pmatrix} a_{1k}' \\ \dots \\ a_{12}' \end{pmatrix} \\ EE_{2}\begin{pmatrix} a_{1k}' \\ \dots \\ a_{12}' \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} CC_{2}\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \dots \\ x_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (\*\*) there is  $y \in R$  such that  $CC_2\begin{pmatrix} x_1\\ \dots\\ x_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \dots\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Take any

 $T_1 \in T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  then  $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_3 & B_3 \\ 0 & a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime} \end{pmatrix}$  for suitable  $A_3, B_3$  and  $a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime}$ . Therefore

$$XTYT_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} A_{3} & B_{3} \\ 0 & a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} ya_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime} \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T_{1}XTY = \begin{pmatrix} A_{3} & B_{3} \\ 0 & a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} A_{3} & B_{3} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} y \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{\prime\prime\prime} y \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ that is, } XTYT_{1} = T_{1}XTY$$

and then we get that  $XTY \in C(T_{2k+2}^k(R))$ . Thus  $T_{2k+2}^k(R)$  is a central semicommutative ring.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.14.** Let R be a commutative reduced ring. Then  $R_4$  is a central semicommutative ring which is not semicommutative.

Let S denote a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of central regular elements. Let  $S^{-1}R$  be the localization of R at S. Then we have the following.

**Proposition 2.15.** Let R be a ring. Then R is central semicommutative if and only if  $S^{-1}R$  is central semicommutative.

Proof. Assume that R is a central semicommutative ring and let  $a_1 = s^{-1}a$ ,  $b_1 = t^{-1}b \in S^{-1}R$ , where  $t, s \in S$ , and  $a_1b_1 = 0$ . Since s and t are central,  $a_1b_1 = s^{-1}t^{-1}ab = 0$ , and so ab = 0. By assumption  $arb \in C(R)$  for all  $r \in R$ . Let  $r \in R$  and  $u \in S$ . Then  $s^{-1}t^{-1}u^{-1}$  and arb are central, and so  $s^{-1}t^{-1}u^{-1}arb = (s^{-1}a)(u^{-1}r)(t^{-1}b)$  is central for every  $u^{-1}r \in S^{-1}R$ . Converse is clear since R may be embedded in  $S^{-1}R$  as a subring and central semicommutativity is preserved under subrings.

**Corollary 2.16.** Let R be a ring. Then R[x] is central semicommutative if and only if  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is central semicommutative.

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, ...\}$ . Then S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R[x] consisting of central regular elements. It follows from Proposition 2.15.  $\Box$ 

If R is a central semicommutative ring, then R/I may not be a central semicommutative ring in general, as the following example shows.

**Example 2.17.** Let D be a division ring, R = D[x, y] and  $I = \langle x^2 \rangle$  with  $xy \neq yx$ . Then R is a semicommutative ring and so central semicommutative. Since  $(x + I)^2 = I$  and  $(x + I)(y + I)(x + I) = xyx + I \notin C(R/I)$ , R/I is not a central semicommutative ring.

The next example shows that for a ring R and an ideal I, if both R/I and I are central semicommutative, then R need not be central semicommutative.

**Example 2.18.** Let F be a field. By Lemma 2.9(2),  $R = T_2(F)$  is not a central semicommutative ring. Let  $I = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then I is an ideal of R and  $R/I \cong F$ . Hence R/I and I are central semicommutative, but R is not.

**Lemma 2.19.** Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R. If R/I is a central semicommutative ring and I is reduced, then R is a central semicommutative ring.

*Proof.* Let ab = 0. Since  $bIa \subseteq I$  and  $(bIa)^2 = 0$ , bIa = 0. Therefore  $((aRb)I)^2 = 0$  and so (aRb)I = 0. Since R/I is central semicommutative and (a + I)(b + I) = I,  $aRb + I \in C(R/I)$ , that is,  $arbr_1 - r_1arb \in I$  for all  $r, r_1 \in R$ . So  $(arbr_1 - r_1arb)^2 \in (arbr_1 - r_1arb)I = 0$  by (aRb)I = 0. Then for all  $r, r_1 \in R$   $arbr_1 = r_1arb$  and so  $aRb \in C(R)$ . □

For a commutative or reduced ring R, it is shown that  $R_2$  is semicommutative, and so central semicommutative. One may suspect that if R is semicommutative or central semicommutative, then  $R_2$  is central semicommutative. But the following example erases the possibility. This example appeared also in [4, Example 11].

**Example 2.20.** Let F be a field, K = F[y] and  $\alpha : K \longrightarrow K$ ,  $\alpha(f(y) = f(y^2)$  be a ring homomorphism. Let  $S = K[x; \alpha] = F[y][x; \alpha]$  be an Ore extension of K. Then S satisfies following condition:  $xf(y) = \alpha(f(y))x = f(y^2)x$ . Also from the fact that S is a noncommutative integral domain, S is a reduced ring. By Lemma 2.8,  $U = S_2$  is semicommutative and so a central semicommutative ring. But  $R = U_2$  is not a central semicommutative ring. For if

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -y^2 x & 0 \\ 0 & -y^2 x \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

, ,

``

then ab = 0 since  $xy = y^2 x \in S$ . Let

$$r = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & y \\ \end{array} \right). \text{ Since } y^2 xy = y^4 x \in S,$$

we have 
$$arb = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-y^3 + y^4)x \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is not in C(R). So R is not a central semicommutative ring.

**Acknowledgement** We thank the referee for his/her thoughtful recommendations, which substantially improved our exposition of previous version.

# References

- N. Agayev and A. Harmanci, On Semicommutative Modules and Rings, Kyungpook Math. J., 47(1)(2007), 21-30.
- [2] M. Baser and N. Agayev, On Reduced and Semicommutative Modules, Turk. J. Math., 30(2006), 285-291.
- [3] Y. Hirano, Some Studies of Strongly π-Regular Rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 20(2)(1978), 141-149.
- [4] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Lim and T. K. Kwak, Extensions of Generalized Reduced Rings, Alg. Coll., 12(2)(2005), 229-240.
- [5] S. U. Hwang, C. H. Jeon and K. S. Park, A Generalization of Insertion of Factors Property, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 44(1)(2007), 87-94.
- [6] N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, *Extensions of Reversible Rings*, J. Pure and Applied Alg., 167(2002), 37-52.
- [7] L. Liang, L. Wang and Z. Liu, On a Generalization of Semicommutative Rings, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 11(5)(2007), 1359-1368.
- [8] G. Shin, Prime ideals and Sheaf Representation of a Pseudo Symmetric ring, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 184(1973), 43-69.